**Scoring Tool Criteria** (October 1, 2021)

Met all threshold requirements – 5

Renewal grant –5 points

Performance (Quality) Score – 0 – 3 points; 0 for non-performance; 1 for substantially poor performance based on APR and Application Performance questions (page 24); 2 for minor performance/quality issues reported; 3 pts for no performance issues

HUD priority – 2 points if application addressing a current HUD priority HUD identified in this year’s NOFO. 0 points if not a current HUD priority

Community Priority – 3 points if addressing CoC local priority, 0 points if not a local priority

Emerging Issues – 1 point if identified as a newly emerging issue that is not a current community priority

A tiebreaker score from 2 – 4 will be provided to applicants with tied scores. Tiebreaker ranking determined by the impact of potential loss of program impacts community at large/CoC strategic Plans; then potential loss of program to residents, and finally capacity of agency’s sustainability if program not funded. 4 points to a proposal with greatest potential impact on community work; 3 points for the program considered greatest loss to homeless residents; and 2 points if agency cannot be sustained if program unfunded and 1 point for any longstanding program that significantly supports homeless work that funding is considered not replaceable in short term.

The ranking done by the review/ranking community based on the criteria is then made as a recommendation to the full CoC membership, with the supporting rationale for the scoring. The full CoC membership votes to approve the ranking recommendation, or rejects the ranking criteria scores and approves alternative criteria/scores to rank the projects meeting threshold requirements.